

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,584	03/18/2004	Vladimir Hlavacek	84,384	4134
Office of Counsel Code OC4 Naval Surface Warfare Center			EXAMINER	
			MCKANE, ELIZABETH L	
Indian Head Division 101 Strauss Ave., Bldg. D-31			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Indian Head, MD 20640-5035			1744	
				<u> </u>
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			<i>\</i>			
	Application No	. Applicant(s)				
	10/807,584	HLAVACEK ET	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leigh McKane	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cove	r sheet with the correspondence	address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF THIS Conformation of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how nunication. atutory period will apply and will expire will, by statute, cause the application	OMMUNICATION.  yever, may a reply be timely filed  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from conside					
Application Papers	·					
_	- Francisco					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 200 Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	<u>04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted o ction to the drawing(s) be held the correction is required if the	I in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ne drawing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internatio * See the attached detailed Office actio	documents have been recondocuments have been reconstruction of the priority documents had bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application No ave been received in this Nationa 2(a)).	al Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03182004.	4) TO-948) 5) 6)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:				

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Specifically, in the last line of the claim, a "chlorine source" is recited, in addition to the "metal chloride" in line 5. However, the specification teaches that the metal chloride *is* the chlorine source. For example, page 8 of the specification states that "the chlorine generating additive may be a metal chloride comprising a metal powder as a fuel" (lines 13-14). Further, in the examples on page 10, the fuel rich layer always contains a metal chloride as the chlorine source.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 7, the parenthetical phrase "(and a metal oxide catalyst)" renders the claim vague and indefinite as it cannot be determined if this is an positive claim limitation.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,584 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

Claims 9 and 10 are indefinite, as drawn to attempts to claim a process without setting forth any steps involved in the process

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cortellucci et al. (US 6,099,806).

Corellucci et al. teaches a self-contained device for generating chlorine-oxygen. The device includes an oxygen-chlorine generating candle 14, a confinement chamber 12, a means 40 for igniting the candle at one end of the chamber, and a means 16 for penetrating of gas to the environment.

#### Claim Objections

7. Claims 9 and 10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Specifically, claims 9 and 10 are "use" claims, dependent upon an apparatus claim. As they fail to further limit the structure of claim 8, they are improper.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,584 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

# Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1<sup>st</sup> and/or 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Although gas-generating candles are well-known in the art, they are designed to generate oxygen and deliver the generated oxygen to a consumer. See, for example, Cortellucci et al. (US 6,099,806) and Zhang et al. (US 6,231,816). Thus, the primary different between the prior art of record and the instant invention is the inclusion of a metal chloride for generating chlorine gas upon ignition. The prior art candles do not include a metal chloride and in fact, disclose the need to remove any chlorine produced by ignition of the chlorate prior to delivery to the consumer. Thus, there is no teaching or suggestion to include a metal chloride in the prior art candles, as doing so would produce more unwanted chlorine.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Partridge et al. (US 1,566,040) teaches a chemical chlorine gas generator wherein a metal chloride is used to produce the chlorine. The chlorine is generated upon exposure to water. Benson et al. (US 5,154,911) discloses an apparatus for chlorine generation using HCl and a metal chloride.

Art Unit: 1744

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh McKane whose telephone number is 571-272-1275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (5:30 am-2:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leigh McKane
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744

elm

13 December 2006